LANGUAGE IN INDIA

Strength for Today and Bright Hope for Tomorrow Volume 11: 1 January 2011 ISSN 1930-2940

Managing Editor: M. S. Thirumalai, Ph.D.
Editors: B. Mallikarjun, Ph.D.
Sam Mohanlal, Ph.D.
B. A. Sharada, Ph.D.
A. R. Fatihi, Ph.D.
Lakhan Gusain, Ph.D.
K. Karunakaran, Ph.D.
Jennifer Marie Bayer, Ph.D.
S. M. Ravichandran, Ph.D.
G. Baskaran, Ph.D.

Case and Case-like Postposition in Surjapuri

Md. Shahzad Alam, M.A, Ph.D. Scholar

Introduction

Surjapuri is one of the 26 languages grouped under Hindi with 1.2 million speakers. It is a lesser known language which has not flourished in terms of language and literature as well as its documentation. There is also a controversy regarding the grouping of this language - whether it is to be marked as a dialect of Maithili or that of Bengali. According to International Encyclopedia of Linguistics, VI, 2nd Edn., it may be a dialect of Maithili. Till now Census of India has kept this language under the Hindi umbrella. However, from its vocabulary and also from the viewpoint of the location of the language speakers it could be preliminarily stated that Surjapuri bears close resemblances to languages like Maithili, Bengali, Bhojpuri, Rajbanshi and Assamese. The paper attempts to throw some light upon the inflecting nature vis-à-vis the syntactic and semantic functions of case and postpositions of Surjapuri.

Inflecting Nature

Surjapuri exhibits an inflecting nature. Thus, it bears enough scope to have an interesting glance at the case inflection system as well as the postpositions which seem to be like

those used in other Indo-Aryan languages. Case or case-marking is a morpho-syntactic device or mechanism that is used to indicate who is doing what to whom. In Harris and Campbell's (1995:89) formulation, 'cases develop from postpositions when the postposition is felt to be so closely connected to its attribute noun that together they are re-interpreted as one word ...'

Layers of Forms with Case-like Functions

In New Indo-Aryan (NIA) languages we observe a rapid increase in use and grammaticalization of new post positions. In order to go with a systematic approach, so far as Surjapuri language is concerned, we take the model of "layers of forms with case-like function" put forward by Colin P. Masica (1991:230-248).

There are at least three layers with case-like functions typically made up of 'inherited synthetic, new agglutinative and quasi-analytic elements'. Depending upon language, the function of a marker in a given layer is carried to a different layer. For example, the agentive function in Layer II post-position —ne in Hindi is indicated by Layer I in Sindhi and Kashmiri. However, descriptions have recognized either two layers of affixes and one of post-positions, or one layer of affixes and two layers of post-positions.

Like Hindi, Bengali, and Rajbanshi, it is difficult in Surjapuri also to determine whether a single layer of case marking is affixal or adpositional. Therefore, following the Indo-Aryan inheritance, the case marker in Surjapuri is basically suffixed to the stem.

However, in our further discussion we shall evaluate how the "morphological status of the markers may vary from bound morphemes (case-suffixes), tightly connected to the nominal stem, to free morphemes (postpositions).

Masica's Three Layers

As posited by Masica, following are the three layers [Layer I affixes are inherited, with varying degrees of phonological reduction and changes of function, from OIA or MIA (Apabhramsa) and attach directly to the stem. Layer II which are either suffixes or analytic particles, are usually mediated by a Layer I element and attach to the base indirectly. Layer III elements are mediated by a Layer II element] of case marking postposition constructions in Surjapuri.

Layer I		category 1	category 2	category 3
	Nominative (Ø)	✓		
	Locative (-t/-ɔt) Genitive (-r/-er)	*	✓	
Layer II	Dative-Accusative (-k/-ɔk) Instrumental-Ablative(sε/ dε) Instrumental (hatε) 'through'		*	
Layer III	Locative por 'upon', uprot 'upon' pitshut 'behind', boglot 'beside'			✓

Layer III elements are external to the NP marking under Masica's scheme. Along with the syntactic criterion, Masica also gives a semantic criterion for distinguishing Layer II from Layer III elements: A layer III element is semantically more specific, e.g. as compared with a more diffuse Locative on Layer II or perhaps Layer I. Layer III typically mediates such concepts as 'on top of', 'under', 'behind', 'inside of', 'near' etc.(1991:235) . The Layer II postpositions show no properties which can be taken as sufficient criteria for case-hood. They only serve to mark grammatical functions, including the function of subject.

1. Case

- 1.1 **Nominative case**: One modern view is that it represents noun as a 'concept pure and simple' (Juret 1926:16), that the nominative form is the case of pure reference (de Groot 1956:189). The nominative case in Surjapuri, is inherent i.e. the marker is ϕ . The noun performs the grammatical function of a subject like-
- i) It may act as the subject of a copulative sentence.

ii) May also be used as the subject of a transitive or an intransitive sentence where the subject is also an agent.

- e.g. a) tut b^hat k^ha $t\int^{h}-i$ you sg.(nom.) rice eat aux.-pres.-2sg. 'You (sg.) eat rice'
 - b) həmra k^het-ət kam kor-va dʒa tʃ^h-i we-nom field-loc. work do-inf. go aux-pres.-1p. 'We go to work in the field.'

2. Dative-Accusative

The dative case is aligned with the accusative in that both express the goal of an event (Blake 2001:39). In Surjapuri, the NP in the dative-accusative case generally performs the grammatical function of Direct Object and Indirect Object. The dative-accusative case can be merged into one category since the markers (-k/-3k) are the same for both dative (recipient) and accusative (patient). It is phonologically dependent on the stem, in that after stem-final vowels the marker is -k, but after stem-final consonants the morpheme requires the epenthetic vowel -3, hence the allomorph -3k.

Masica (1991) maintains the absence of the accusative case in NIA, which I reproduce here:

The Object is not distinguished from the subject by case marking in many NIA languages... Both may be in the Nominative. Unlike Sanskrit there is generally no distinctive Accusative case in NIA. Historically, the Indo-Aryan Accusative merged with the Nominative... This is not to say that Objects are always bereft of case marking. They may take it, in the form of the Dative marker (in the absence of an Accusative: the marker is often called a Dative-Accusative as a result). Its functions, however, are often more pragmatic than syntactic. That is, in the case of non-human nouns, it generally indicates a "definite" object, that is, one that is already known; in the case of human nouns, it stresses their Patienthood, a marked status (human nouns normally being Agents). In languages with other means of marking definiteness... the first of these functions is minimalised. (ibid: 365).

Human and direct animate/inanimate objects are generally marked with dative-accusative case.

e.g.

Dative

- i) ram-ok gussa os -Il ram-dat. angry come aux.-pst. 'Ram got angry'
- ii) ənɪl-ɔk ɪnam du-ual gɛl anil -dat award give-cause go-pst. 'Anil was awarded'
- iii) to-k ek -ţa kolom du -mũ you-dat one-cl. pen give fut.1sg. 'I will give you a pen'.

Accusative:

- i) aləm ek k^h an kıtab—ok po Γ^h —Il alam(nom.) one cl. book acc. read aux.pst. 'Alam read a book.'
- ii) muj ram-ok dʒan t∫^h-u
 i-nom. ram-acc. know aux.-pres.-1sg.
 'I know Ram.'

2. Genitive case

The genitive case is a case of possessor which is marked by the suffixes -r/-er. After stem-final vowels the morpheme is -r, and after stem-final consonants it is -er. The suffixes -r/-er are the 'characteristic' case-endings for genitive, similar to Bengali.

i) rum-er lok-la rome-gen. man -pl. 'Men of Rome'

ii) pənɪr-er pəhīja-dapaneer -gen. wheel -cl.'Wheel of cheese'

The case marker attaches to the noun classifier or plural marker. However, if the phrase is modified by a demonstrative or numeral, the noun classifier or plural attaches to the modifier, while the genitive is linked to the NP.

- i) p^h Iləm-da -r modʒu film -def/cl.-gen. title 'The title of the film'
- ii) mỹj boro lok-la-r i-nom. big person-pl.-gen. respect do aux.-pres-1sg. 'I respect elders.'

4. Locative case

The locative case expresses the idea of location of an action. When the stem is open syllabic, it takes -t to denote locative case, whereas in case of closed syllabic, it takes the form -2t. Semantically, it also provides semantic map of not only direction of location, but also implies as something within the enclosed space when it refers to personal pronoun.

e.g.

- i) t∫əul bəstı–da–t bə†-al gɛl rice -nom. village-def.-loc. distribute-caus. go-1sg. 'The rice was distributed in the village'
- ii) υ ϑ hãj k^h et $-\upsilon$ t halb ϑ h -a $t \zeta^h \epsilon$ he -nom. field in-loc. plough prog. aux.-pres-3sg. 'He is ploughing (in) the field'

Some of the main semantic notions expressed by locative postpositions are –

i) Location within or inside something

```
mor b^hitr-ət gussa b^hər-al t \int^h-\epsilon I-poss. inside-pp. anger filled-cuas. aux.-pres-1sg. 'I am filled with anger.'
```

ii) **Price of a thing**

```
dui- da kələm dəs taka-r
two-cl. pen 10 Rs.- gen.
'Two pens for Rs.10/'
```

iii) Duration

```
mũj dui g<sup>h</sup>ənṭa-t kɪtab k<sup>h</sup>an pɔṭ<sup>h</sup> -nu i-nom. two hour-pp. book cl. read -pst.1sg. 'I read the book in two hours.'
```

Surjapuri also bears these kinds of postpositions or postpositional compounds like-

```
upr-ot 'upon'
bagl- ot 'close'
bhtr-ot 'inside'
bahar-ot 'outside'
nɪtʃʰan -ot 'below'
```

5. Ablative-Instrumental case

The case marker $s\varepsilon/d\varepsilon$ (optional) is common to both instrumental and ablative cases, the difference being that, ablative refers to 'motion away from' and instrumental denotes 'by means of'. Certain instrumental/agentive clitics are evident in Surjapuri. The markers – $d\varepsilon$ [of Magadhan origin is likely to be the conjunctive participle form of the verb di-'give':d-ie(ne) (lit. 'by giving')> $d\varepsilon$] and $-s\varepsilon$ (possibly Hindi loan) are used with nonnominates. However, according to Ramawatar Yadav, "From a strictly grammatical perspectivethere is no motivation for postulating an ablative case distinct from the instrumental." (pg. 88, A Reference Grammar of Maithili, Ramawatar Yadav". There was a syncretism of the ablative-instrumental distinction, which occurred in a number of Indo-European languages, where the two cases came to be used interchangeably before

the formal distinction was lost. Moreover, Bloch is also of the opinion that instrumental and ablative cases express cause, separation and comparison.

Ablative

- i) əhməd nədī-da se pani ut h -al Ahmad river- cl abl. water fetch aux.-pst. 'Ahmad fetched water from the river,
- ii) ∫jam maţi-la hat k^han dε υţ^h-al shyam mud pl. hand cl. abl. lift aux-pst.
 'Shyam lifted the mud from the hand'

Instrumental

- i) sīta uəhak t∫əku k^han dɛ katɛ dī -le sita him knife cl. ins. cut give pst-perf. 'Sita injured him with a knife'
- ii) ram dʒal sε mat∫ mar -Il
 Ram net with fish catch aux.-pst.
 'Ram caught the fish with the net.'

In certain constructions $-s\varepsilon$ expresses the notion of connection/proximity in general. The two 'multi-valued' postpositions $-k/-\partial k$ (dat.-acc.) and $-s\varepsilon$ (abl.-ins.) are involved in the expressions of motions towards the limit and departure from it.

Several instrumental/agentive clitics or post positions are found to be used in contemporary Surjapuri. There could be different interpretations of it, which are discussed below.

Case	Interpretation	Example
Instrumental		mũj ət∫t∫ ^h a sɛ lɪk ^h I(nom) good-advl.write

	-nu. auxpst. 'I wrote well.'
Theme	mastər-ţa p ^h ılosop ^h ı-r teacher-cl philosophy-gen. barε bol-ɪl. about speak-aux-pst 'The teacher spoke about philosophy.'
Time	gorom 40c tok bəp ^h e heat 40c upto rise gɛl. auxpst 'Temperature rouse up to 40c.'
Instrument	kulhari k ^h an de ləkri axe-nom cl. ins. wood kat cut 'Cut wood with the axe.'
Cause	υəhar g ^h or dʒa-υa -r his home go-ger gen. υədʒe kərε səbkoı reason ins.pp all k ^h us t∫ ^h -Il. happy cop.pst. 'Everybody was happy with his going home.'
Content	mas-ta-t pərotın se fish-cl-loc. protein abl. b ^h ər-al t\sum^h-\varepsilon fill pst-cuas aux.prst. 'Fish is filled with protein.'
Path	kam vəha-r hate work his-gen. through-pp kər-al gel . go-cuas. aux.pst 'Work was done by him.'
Comparison	ບəhãj mor sε s/he-nom. my abl.

	bəρ ^h ıja t∫ ^h ε better coppres. 's/he is better than me.'
Reflexive	mũj Ik ^h an kam nɪdʒε i-nom. this work myself kor -nu do-perf pres. perf 'I have done this work myself.'
Association	kukur ţa həmar dog-nom. cl. us sat ^h ε roh t∫ ^h -ε with-pp. live pres aux. 'Dog lives with us'
Antagonism	vəhar senge lərəimar him/her with fight na kor neg. do-imp. 'Do not fight with him/her.'

It is, however, also possible that the clitic le is a conjunctive participle form of the verb li- 'take':

l-ie(ne) 'take-conj.ptcl' (lit. 'by taking'), as in:

```
vahãj ik^han kitab mor hat se t\mathfrak{f}^hirae l\mathtt{I} -le. s/he this book me hand from-abl. snatch take aux-pst. 's/he has snatched this book from me.'
```

bihan se pani ho $t\int^h$ -e morning from rain prog. aux-prst. 'It has been raining since morning.'

The ablative-instrumental is used in complex postpositional constructions such as:

purəb -er bh

t

sε
east – gen. towards from (abl)

'from the direction of the east'

goțla p^h ul se uda p^h ul mok ət $\int t \int^h a$ ləg-o $t \int^h \epsilon$ all flower from pp. that flower me good apply(like)-prog. aux.-pres. 'Among all flowers I like that flower'

The ablative is used in comparative and superlative constructions.

I-khan t \int əku gotla t \int əku se d^harivala t \int ^he prox. cl. knife all knife from-pp. sharp cop.-pres 'This knife is sharpest of all.'

υ-khan g^h or I-khan g^h or sε boτo $t \int^h ε$ rem.cl house prox.cl. house from -pp. big cop.-pres. 'That house is bigger than this house'

The ablative can be used as an instrumental clitic in place of the instrumental de

dʒəila pəisa tuī mok di -lo uəhila pəisa se muj those money you me give auc-pst those moey abl.-ins i-nom. mɪtʰa kīnɛ ən- nu sweets buy bring aux-pst-1sg.
'I bought sweets with the money that you gave me.'

Other postpositions

Terminative post position

The terminative (term.) clitic $t \ni k$ is used to convey the terminal point of a state of affairs, either in terms of location, time or amount.

i) kolkata se dılli tək Kolkata from pp. Delhi till 'from Kolkata to Delhi'

- ii) υəhak os-ua hol-tən lekɪn əb^hı tək ni os-ε s/he come-inf. supposed but now until neg. come-perf.3p 's/he was supposed to come but has come till now.'
- iii) tok kom-se-kom dui taka SO SE tın SO at least two hundred from three hundred money you mok duva t∫əhi me should give 'You should give me at least two to three hundred'

Temporal post position

The temporal postpositions k^huna 'during' and bad 'after' occur after nouns verbs and adverbs Occasionally bad 'after' attaches to the head without the genitive case

kor- k^h una mok ləg $t \int^h -\epsilon$ ke dʒəmin k^h an $t \int$ əpta $t \int^h -\epsilon$ Some-time me feel aux-pres-1sg that earth cl. flat cop.-pres-3p. 'Sometimes I feel that the earth is flat'

sun-va-r bad liten -ptcl-gen. after 'After listening'

thorok dine-r bad some day-gen after 'After some days'

kut dine-r bad some day-gen after 'After few days'

The temporal postposition kore 'advl' occurs after temporal adverbs. It never requires genitive case marking on the adverb.

rat kore $\vartheta k^h l \epsilon$ $g^h \upsilon mna$ $k^h \vartheta tra$ $t \int^h \epsilon$ night at-pp. alone roaming dangerous cop.-pres 'Roaming at night is dangerous.'

The causal postposition 'vədʒəh' is attached to the nonfinite verb with the genitive case.

vəhar g^h ər dəg-va-r vədəh kəre səb-goi k^h us $t \int^h$ -Il. his/her home go-part.-gen. cause from all happy cop.-pst. 'Everybody was happy with his going home.'

Purpose is marked with the postposition tene 'for; in order to' and is found in conjunction with verbs.

I-da sat ram-er tene t5he prox.def. shirt ram-gen. for cop.-pres 'This shirt is for Ram.'

Several other postpositions need mentioning. The following postpositions attach to the phrase head with the genitive case.

b^hIti se 'on behalf of' mon di 'just like'

In addition, the following postpositions attach to the head without the genitive case.

a) gotan-da 'throughout'

vəhãj gotan-da sal b $\operatorname{bmar} t \int^h -i l$ he/she throughout-def. year ill cop.- pst 'he/she was ill throughout the year'

b) dʒəɪ kɪ kɔm ''as if'

vəhãj eji-kisəm roh $t \int^h \epsilon$ dzəi ki kom ke vəhãj radza $t \int^h \epsilon$ he like this stay aux.-pres as if he king cop-pres 's/he stays as if s/he (is) a king.'

The postposition bina 'without' attaches to the head with the genitive except when it functions as an adverb, in which case it precedes the head

mõj kolom-er bina likh-va ni pam i-nom. pen gen. without write-ind. neg. can 'I cannot write without pen.

mor bina $kam k^han k^hotom$ ho-va ni pa-be. Me without work cl. finish aux. ind. neg. do aux-fut. 'work will not be done without me.'

The nominal expressions (Noun Phrase) with post-positions function similar to a morphological form in Surjapuri, which is also evident in other Indo-Aryan languages. In other words, the syntactic function of a nominal cannot recognize a case from the form/morphological marker unless it is analyzed on the basis of the related meaning of the segments (markers) in the sentence. Sometimes a suffix could be added to the nominal, though in other cases it is inherent.

Looking from a syntactic point of view though it seems easy to mark out the case forms but if studied semantically, Surjapuri language maintains multiple functions like

definiteness, plurality and case. A bound morpheme added to the nominal can function in a different way other than what convention of a language says. However, one can look into this area carefully before coming to a conclusion that a particular marker may function in a number of ways, often left unnoticed.

References

Chatterjee, S. K. 1965. Saral Bhasa Prokas Bangla a Vyakaran, Vak Sahitya, Calcutta.

Fillmore, Charles J. 1968. The case for case. In *Universals of Linguistics Theory*, ed. Emmon Bach and Robert T. Harms. pp.1-88. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.

Fillmore, Charles J. 1977. The case for case reopened. In Syntax and Semantics: Grammatical Relations, Volume 8, ed. Peter Cole and Jerald Sadock. pp.59-91. New York: Academic Press.

Gangopadhay, M. 1990 The Noun Phrase in Bengali: Assignment of Role and the Karaka Theory. Delhi.

Kachru, Yamuna. 1966. An Introduction to Hindi Syntax, Department of Linguistics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois.

Kapfo, K. 2004 The Ethnology of the Khezhas and The Khezha Grammar, pp.247-62, Mysore: CIIL.

Masica, Colin. P. 1991. The Indo-Aryan Languages. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Yadav, Ramawatar. 1996 A Reference Grammar of Maithili. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter (Trends in Linguistics: Documentation, 11).

Md.Shahzad Alam, Ph.D Scholar Department of Linguistics through C.I.I.L University of Mysore Mysore 570006 Karnataka, India

shahzad8@gmail.com