

## Grammaticalization of Verb ‘ləg’ in Punjabi, Hindi, and Bangla Languages

**Harjit Singh, Ph.D.**

Assistant Professor

Department of Linguistics and CSTLs

Indira Gandhi National Tribal University, Madhya Pradesh

Email: [harjitsingh.jnu@gmail.com](mailto:harjitsingh.jnu@gmail.com)

M. 9877393138

---

---

### Abstract

The paper shows some interesting aspects of grammaticalization process based on collecting primary data sets from three parallel languages (Punjabi, Hindi, and Bangla). The whole study is divided into two kinds of initial observations related to a polysemous verb ‘ləg’. In Punjabi, a verb (ləg) gives various interpretations (e.g. attend, give, use, wear etc.) due to grammaticalization. It also happens with Hindi and Bangla as well. Punjabi is selected as source language here. The verb ‘ləg’ is noticed in V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> position in all three languages where it supports de-semanticization rather than de-categorization. On the other hand, Bangla comparatively gives different results under semantic range. In future, de-categorization will also be studied in Punjabi, Hindi and Bangla.

**Keywords:** ləg, V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>, grammaticalization, semantic bleaching, and semantic range.

### 1. Introduction

"ləg" is a polysemous verb. In Hindi, "ləgna" has different usage, (like begin, attach, seem, appear, etc.). (Shapiro, 1987). Like Hindi, Punjabi language has also different usages of ləg. While Punjabi language has shown similarities with Hindi, however it has different interpretations related with a verb ləg. Bangla is also an Indo-Aryan language. Bangla speakers have also been habituated to perform speech acts with a verb ləg. Here, we can compare these three languages to understand similar and dissimilar usage of a verb (ləg) with the help of grammaticalization (Traugott and König, 1991; Diewald, and Wischer, 2002; Heiko and Heine, 2011).

### 2. The Spoken Region of Languages

The Hindi language is an Indo-Aryan language that is spoken across northern India. Hindi has descended from the Madhya Prakrit. It is one of the official languages of the Republic of India.

Punjabi language is also a part of Modern Indo-Aryan language family, which is

---

---

tonal and it is spoken by its inhabitants. Punjabi has two major varieties known as Eastern and Western Punjabi. According to the *Ethnologue* 2005, there are 88 million native speakers of the Punjabi language, which makes it approximately the 10<sup>th</sup> most widely spoken language in the world (Ahmed, 2005).

Bengali language is an Eastern Modern Indo-Aryan language. It is native to the region of eastern South Asia known as Bengal, which comprises different states of India such as West Bengal, Tripura and Assam.

### 3. Aims and Objectives

Our main aim in this paper is not only to show the different usages of a verb *ləg* but also to provide some information about grammaticalization here (Diewald, 2022). We will focus on the following.

- (1) To see the occurrence of *ləg* in the V<sub>1</sub> position
- (2) To see the occurrence of *ləg* in the V<sub>2</sub> position.

Using the criteria, we study the semantic range of a verb *ləg* and will present a comparative analysis in these three languages.

### 4. Analysis with a *ləg* in V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> Positions in Punjabi, Hindi and Bangla

#### 4.1 *ləg* as *appear*

When a verb *ləg* is appeared in V<sub>1</sub> position and it follows a noun then it considers like *appear* and *seen* in Punjabi, Hindi, and Bangla. For example,

#### Punjabi

- (1)      oh            saḍu            ləg            reha            hai  
           He            saint            appear        -ing            is. PRES.3m.sg.  
           He appears to be a saint.

#### Hindi

- (1)      vo                    saḍu                    ləg                    rəha                    hai  
           He                    saint                    appear                -ing                    is. PRES.3m.sg.  
           He appears to be a saint.

#### Bangla

- (1)      oke            jaḍ<sup>h</sup>or                    moḡ o                    lac<sup>h</sup>e.  
           He            saint                    like                    appear PRES. 3m.sg.  
           He appears to be a saint.

#### 4.2 *ləg* as *seem*:

When a verb *ləg* occurs after adjective in the imperfective form, it looks like *seem* in three languages. For example,

##### Punjabi

- (2) oh      cəla:k      ləgd      hai  
He      clever      seem      is. PRES. 3m.sg.      (imperfective )  
He seems clever.

##### Hindi

- (2) vo      cəla:k      ləgṭa      hai  
He      clever      seem      is. PRES. 3m.sg.      (imperfective)  
He seems clever.

##### Bangla

- (2) oke      cəlak      lag<sup>he</sup>.  
He      clever      seem+Prog.3m.sg.      (imperfective)  
He seems clever.

A verb *ləg* produces ‘seem’ and ‘appear’ senses in Punjabi, Hindi, and Bangla. It deals with speakers not to the subject of the sentences. Unlike English, it shows progressive tense also. It is an example of a single part of grammaticalization, which is called de-semanticization (Heine and Kuteva, 2002).

#### 4.3 *ləg* as *wear*

The following examples show that a verb *ləg* considers as ‘wear’ and ‘close’. It is assumed that when a verb *ləg* occurs after noun in  $V_1$  position, then it shows semantic bleaching. On the other hand, it also shares *stative* information of the sentences. For example,

##### Punjabi

- (3) us de      cəfma      ləgea:      hai  
He-GEN      spectacles      wear      is PRES.3 m.sg.  
He has worn spectacles.

##### Hindi

- (3) uske.      cəfma:      ləga      hai

He-GEN spectacles wear is PRES. 3m.sg.  
He has worn spectacles.

### Bangla

(3) o- r cok<sup>h</sup>e chojma ləga ache  
He-GEN eye spectacles wear is PRES. 3m.sg.  
He has worn spectacles.

#### 4.3.1. ləg as close:

### Punjabi

ḍərwaja: ləgea: hai  
door close is PRES.3m.sg.  
'The door is closed.'

### Hindi

ḍərwaja: ləga hai  
door close is PRES. 3m.sg.  
'The door is closed.'

### Bangla

ḍəḍja ləga ache  
door shut is PRES.3m.sg.  
'The door is closed.'

#### 4.4 ləg as exist

Here a verb ləg looks like an 'exist', which gives an information about the physical environment of the event within a sentence. In other words, it acts like a physical verb in Punjabi, Hindi, and Bangla.

### Punjabi

(4) eṯ he lo kā di pīṯ ləgi: hai  
there people GEN crowded EXIST is  
PRES.3m.sg.  
There are people crowded.

### Hindi

(4) yəhā loḡō: ki: b<sup>h</sup>iṯ ləgi hai

there people GEN crowded EXIST is PRES .3m.sg.  
There are people crowded.

### Bangla

(4) ek<sup>h</sup>ane manu<sup>f</sup>er b<sup>h</sup>iṛ lege ac<sup>h</sup>e  
there people crowded. EXIST is PRES.3m.sg.  
There are people crowded.

All sentences show semantic bleaching here. It is argued that verb (ləg) becomes de-semanticized and it shows attach/ close / existential form.

### 4.5 ləg as *feel*:

Like Punjabi, Hindi, and Bangla also show de-lexicalization with a verb ləg. For example,

### Punjabi

(5) us nu: peyas ləgi:  
He-dat thirst feel-PERF 3m.sg.  
He felt thirsty.

### Hindi

(5) us ko peya:s ləgi  
He-dat thirst feel-PERF 3m.sg.  
He felt thirsty.

### Bangla

(5) O ke jol ṭre j̣ta lege<sup>h</sup>e  
He-dat water thirst feel-PERF 3m.sg.  
He felt thirsty.

It is found that a verb ləg may be assumed like a feel. It has been noticed that three languages have equally shared such feature. We have argued that a verb **ləg** in V<sub>1</sub> position in Punjabi, Hindi and Bangla occupies a conjunct verb status and seems more grammaticalized. Related this, we have already seen the similarities between these languages even though we have covered only a sense of semantic bleaching undergrammaticalization (Leacock eds. 2000).

### 5. ləg in V<sub>2</sub> Position

Now, we will follow second criteria of analysis where a verb **ləg** appears in **V<sub>2</sub> position**. We select same languages here and start Punjabi.

## 5.1 ləg as *begin*:

### Punjabi

- (1) oh k<sup>h</sup>aŋa: k<sup>h</sup>a:ŋ ləg geya:  
He-agt food eat-INF BEGIN go-PERF 3m.sg.  
He begun to eat food.

### Hindi

- (1) vo k<sup>h</sup>ana: k<sup>h</sup>a:ne ləg gəya:  
He-agt food eat-INF BEGIN go-PERF3m.sg.  
He begun to eat food.

### Bangla

- (1) o k<sup>h</sup>abar k<sup>h</sup>eɽe juru kore ɽIyeche  
He-agt food eat-INF start give-PERF3m.sg.  
He started to eat food.

## 5.2 ləg as *presumptive*:

When a verb ləg occurs after an infinite verb and it takes future marker *-ga* then it provides presumptive information about sentences from speaker's perspective. For example,

### Punjabi

- (2) raj fʊɽba:l k<sup>h</sup> ɛɽəŋ legega:  
raj-agt football play-INF begin-FUT 3m.sg.  
(presumptive)  
Raj will begin to play football.

### Hindi

- (2) raj fʊɽba:l k<sup>h</sup>eləne ləgega (presumptive)  
raj-agt football play-INF begin-FUT 3m.sg.  
Raj will begin to play football.

### Bangla

- (2) raj-agt hɔɽɔf ʊɽbəl k<sup>h</sup> elɽe legeɽhe  
Raj PRESUME football play-INF begin-FUT 3m.sg.  
(presumptive)

Raj presumed to play football.

In V<sub>2</sub> position of a verb **ləg** has changed its semantic property of *attach* in each (1) sentence of these languages. However, Bangla does not permit to produce start or begin sense in this context. For start or begin, Bangla speakers have habit to use a separate verb (*juru kore*). In (2) sentence, it gives *inceptive* meanings however a future marker *ga*: blocks such meanings into Hindi and Punjabi. On the other hand, Bangla has also a separate word (*həḡto*) which shares presumptive information about the sentence. We also argue that when a verb *ləg* is followed by infinitive verbs, it most probably gives *inceptive* information. For example,

(a) oh            rəŋ            ləgg            geya:  
He-agt      cry-INF      BEGIN      go-  
PERF 3m.sg.  
He began to crying.

(b) oh            həssəŋ      ləgg            geya:  
He-agt      laugh-INF    BEGIN      go-PERF 3m.sg.  
He began to laughing.

It has been pointed out that not only these two verbs but other infinitive verbs like *ḡk<sup>h</sup>əŋ* (to see), *səʈfəŋ* (to think), *boləŋ* (to speak), *nəʈʈfəŋ* (to dance) in Punjabi may share a similar sense.

### 5.3 *ləg* as come:

When a verb *ləg* appears in V<sub>2</sub> position and followed by a finite verb. It is also grammaticalized. Forexample,

#### Punjabi

(3) us nu            səməj<sup>h</sup>      ləg            gəi:  
He- dat      understand    CAME      go-  
PERF 3m.sg.  
He came to understand.

#### Hindi

(3) us ko            səməj<sup>h</sup>      ləg            gəi  
He-dat      understand    CAME      go-  
PERF 3m.sg.  
He came to understand.

#### Bangla

(3) O            buje            gec<sup>h</sup>e  
He            understand    go-PERF 3m.sg.

He understood.

In (3) sentence, a verb *ləg* has similar functions like come both into Hindi and Punjabi languages. However, it is not possible in Bangla.

#### 5.4 *ləg* as *ran*:

Unlike Hindi and Bangla, only Punjabi shows that a verb *ləg* can function like a verb *ran*.

#### Punjabi

- (4) manəv rəma vitʃ ja: ləgeya:  
Manav Rama in go ran-PERF 3m.sg.  
Manav ran into Rama.

In (4), a verb *ləg*, when it is followed by a finite verb *go* then it changes into *ran*. It is interestingly to point out that it is found only in Punjabi, not in Hindi and Bangla. On the other hand, we can see the semantic bleaching in both finite and infinite verbs with an *ləg* in  $V_2$  position.

### 6. Semantic Range of *ləg*

With the analysis of *ləg* in  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  position, we have also tried to find out the semantic range of a verb *ləg* in these languages. It has been argued that a word might have more than one meaning (in the context) however more meanings mean the greater the word's *semantic range* (Fillmore, 2000). It is more significant in anthropology when we talk about involvement of different languages and cultures (Newmeyer, 2000).

#### Inflected form of a *ləg*:

##### 6.1 *ləgai*: as *attend*

#### Punjabi

- (1) us ne jəma:t nəhi: ləgai:  
He-erg class NEG attend-PST 3m.sg.  
He did not attend the class.

#### Hindi

- (1) us ne kəlas nəhī ləgai:  
He-erg class NEG attend-PST 3m.sg.  
He did not attend the class.

#### Bangla

- (1) je klas kore ni  
He class do-PST. NEG



3m.sg.  
He did not attend the class.

## 6.2 *ləgai: as tell*

### Punjabi

(2) us ne            səhi        kiməṭ        nəhi:        ləgai:  
He-erg        right        price        NEG        tell-  
PST 3m.sg.  
He did not tell the right price.

### Hindi

(2) us ne            səhi        kiməṭ        nəhi:        ləgai  
He-erg        right        price        NEG        tell-PST 3m.sg.  
He did not tell the right price.

### Bangla

(2) o            ʃotʰik        mʊllo        ləgai        ni  
He        right        price        tell-PST        NEG 3m.sg.  
He did not tell the right price.

## 6.3 *ləgai: as give*

### Punjabi

(3) us ne            menũ        a:va:j        nəhi:        ləgai:  
He-erg        me        call        NEG        give-PST 3m.sg.  
He did not call me.

### Hindi

(3) us ne            mujʰe        a:va:dʒ        nəhi        ləgai  
He-erg        me        call        NEG        give-PST 3m.sg.  
He did not call me.

### Bangla

(3) o    am ake        ɖake        ni  
He    me        call-PST.        NEG 3m.sg.  
He did not call me.

## 6.4 *ləgai: as use*

### Punjabi

(4) us ne                    kəri:m                    nəhĩ:                    ləgai:  
He-erg                    cream                    NEG                    use-PST 3m,sg.  
He did not use cream.

### Hindi

(4) us ne                    kəri:m                    nəhĩ                    ləgai  
He-erg                    cream                    NEG                    use-PST 3m.sg.  
He did not use cream.

Above examples show semantic range in all these languages. Another fact is that semantic range is also possible when such examples come with an inflected form of *ləgai* in the context of +/-NEG. For example,

<i>ləgai</i> (+NEG)	<i>ləgai</i> (-NEG)
attend / tell / give / use	attend / tell / give / use

Here, Bangla does not show proper correspondences with Hindi and Punjabi because Bangla speakers are habituated to take different verbs like (*kore*, *ḍake*) in the place of a verb *ləg* for similar sense. It means that a frequency of a verb *ləg* in the context of a semantic range does not match with Hindi and Punjabi. Keeping in mind that this argument is based on collecting few sentences and it can possibly be changed by observing more data in future.

### Conclusion

Thus, we have found that a verb *ləg* in Punjabi, Hindi and Bangla occurs as a polysemous verb. Polysemous nature has been analyzed under grammaticalization. When it occurs in V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> positions, then it is said that it reflects more de-semanticization rather than de-categorization. On the other hand, a verb *ləg* has also inherent nature for semantic range where Bangla is different from Punjabi and Hindi. In fact, the hidden idea is that the contextual use of a verb *ləg* does not only indicate about grammaticalization but it also demonstrates similarities and differences between all three languages.

---

## APPENDIX-1

Table 1. Comparative Analysis of ləg in Three Languages

Verb's Position	Punjabi	Hindi	Bangla
<b>ləg in V<sub>1</sub></b>	Yes	Yes	Yes
Appear	Yes	Yes	Yes
Seem	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wear	Yes	Yes	Yes
Exist	Yes	Yes	Yes
Feel	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>ləg in V<sub>2</sub></b>	Yes	Yes	Yes
Begin	Yes	Yes	Yes
Presumptive	Yes	Yes	Yes, without “ləg”
Come	Yes	Yes	No
Run	Yes	No	No
<b>Semantic Range</b>			
Attend	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tell	Yes	Yes	Yes
Give	Yes	Yes	Yes
Use	Yes	Yes	No
Call	Yes	Yes	No

## Bibliography

- Ahmed, T. (2007). Ablative, associative and instrument markers in Urdu, Punjabi and Sindhi. University of Konstanz1-Stuttgart.
- Diewald, G. (2022). Context types in grammaticalization as constructions. *Constructions, Special Volume 1*. <https://doi.org/10.24338/cons-443>.
- Diewald, G., & Wischer, I. (Eds.). (2002). *New reflections on grammaticalization*. Vol. 49. Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing.
- Fillmore, C. J; Atkins, B T S (2000). "Describing polysemy: The case of "crawl". In Leacock (Ed.), *Polysemy: Theoretical and Computational Approaches*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Heiko, N. and Heine, B. (Eds.) (2011). *The Oxford handbook of grammaticalization*. UK, Oxford University Press.
- Heine, B. and Kuteva, T. (2002). *World lexicon of grammaticalization*. UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Newmeyer, F. J. (2000). Deconstructing grammaticalization. *Language sciences*, 23 (2-3):187-229.
- Shapiro, M.C., (1987). Hindi lagna: A study in Semantic Change, *Journal of the American Oriental Society*, 107 (3):401.
- Traugott, E.C., and König, E. (1991). The semantics-pragmatics of grammaticalization revisited. In Traugott, E.C., and König, E. (Eds.). *Approaches to grammaticalization 1* (pp. 189-218). Amsterdam: John Benjamin Publishing Company.
- 
-