

Relative Clause Formation in Manipuri

Huiningshumbam Surchandra Singh, Research Scholar
Prof. P. Madhubala, Ph.D.
Department of Linguistics, Manipur University

Abstract

The paper attempts to explore how a nominalized clause (relative clause) is formed and what it does in a noun phrase (NP). The relative clause can be made by nominalizing the verb of the clause or by using the quotative *-haibə-*. The Manipuri relative clause, like an adjective, modifies a head noun and can either precede or follow the head noun of an NP. Our findings reveal that the relative clause as a modifier can occur in an NP that functions as a subject, object or complement of a sentence. In a certain context understood by both the speaker or writer and hearer or reader, the head noun that is modified by the relative clause can be deleted and in that NP the only remaining constituent has to be the relative clause. An interesting new observation made in our analysis is that the quotative *-haibə-* is mainly used in relative clause formation to retain the quoted statement as it is.

Keywords: Manipuri, relative clause, quotative, noun phrase, complement, modifier.

Introduction

Manipuri is a Tibeto-Burman language that has tonal system. It has two tones i.e. high and low. This is an agglutinating language. Many suffixes can be added to the verb. Different types of sentence formation namely negation, imperative and yes or no question can be made by the suffixation of the respective markers to the verb. Manipuri is a postpositional language which is opposed to the preposition; all the case markers are suffixed to the nouns. Its sentence structure is of verb final i.e. subject-object-verb. But in some complex sentences the object precedes the subject followed by the verb when a relative clause is embedded inside an NP which is the object of that complex sentence. In this language nominalization takes a great role in forming relative clause.

Nominalization refers to the process of forming a noun from some other word-class or derivation of noun phrase from an underlying clause. Nominalization is a process by which various grammatical units (words, phrases, clauses, etc.) are turned into nouns or NPs. The fundamental relativization pattern is same throughout the TB language family: relativization is a subspecies of clausal nominalization. The modifying clause is nominalized, and then stands in either a genitive or an appositive relation to the head noun (DeLancy 2002: 56). In Manipuri, relative clauses are formed by adding the nominalizer *-pə ~ -bə* to the verb of the embedded clause and also by using the quotative *-haibə-* which is the extended meaning of the verb *hai*

‘say’ and formed by affixing the nominalizer *-pə ~ -bə* to this verb. Unlike the nominalized relative clause the verb of the clause with quotative *haibə* is a finite verb.

In Manipuri, the finite verbs are attached inflectional markers like aspects, mood and the non-finite verbs are formed by nominalizing *-pə ~ -bə* to the verbs. Very often it is practically impossible to decide at once, whether a certain word is meant to be an infinitive or a participle; *mthoŋ-ba* may mean: to see, seeing, or seen; *ze-ba* may mean: to say, saying, or said (Francke 1929:146). Like in Tibetan mentioned above, Manipuri has no difference between the infinitive and participle; *p^ha-bə* may mean: to catch, catching or caught. As a native speaker the data are built up for the present study and the phonemic transcription system (IPA) is used to represent the data in this paper.

Pre- vs. Post-head Position of Relative Clause

Inside an NP the relative clause can occur in the same position of an adjective. The Manipuri adjective can occur before or after the head noun of an NP. Similarly, the relative clause can either precede or follow the head noun of an NP.

- | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
| 1. (a) | <i>[ənoibə]_{MOD}</i>
<i>ə-noi-bə</i>
ATT-fat-NMZ | <i>[nupa]_{HN}</i>
<i>nupa</i>
man | <i>ədu</i>
<i>ədu</i>
D.DET | <i>sannəri</i>
<i>sannə-ri</i>
play-PROG | | |
| | ‘The fat man is playing.’ | | | | | |
| (b) | <i>[nupa]_{HN}</i>
<i>nupa</i>
man | <i>[ənoibə]_{MOD}</i>
<i>ə-noi-bə</i>
ATT-fat-NMZ | <i>ədu</i>
<i>ədu</i>
D.DET | <i>sannəri</i>
<i>sannə-ri</i>
play-PROG | | |
| | ‘The fat man is playing.’ | | | | | |
| 2. (a) | <i>[nəŋnə]</i>
<i>nəŋ-nə</i>
you-NOM | <i>ŋəraŋ</i>
<i>ŋəraŋ</i>
yesterday | <i>[ləirəkpə]_{MOD}</i>
<i>ləi-rək-pə</i>
buy-DEIC-NMZ | <i>[ləiriktu]_{HN}</i>
<i>ləirik-tu</i>
book-DET | <i>əi</i>
<i>əi</i>
I | <i>pammi</i>
<i>pam-i</i>
like-SAM |
| | ‘I like the book that you bought yesterday.’ | | | | | |
| (b) | <i>[ləirik]_{HN}</i>
<i>ləirik</i>
book | <i>[nəŋnə]</i>
<i>nəŋ-nə</i>
you-NOM | <i>ŋəraŋ</i>
<i>ŋəraŋ</i>
yesterday | <i>[ləirəkpədu]_{MOD}</i>
<i>ləi-rək-pə-du</i>
buy-DEIC-NMZ-DET | <i>əi</i>
<i>əi</i>
I | <i>pammi</i>
<i>pam-i</i>
like-SAM |
| | ‘I like the book that you bought yesterday.’ | | | | | |

In 1(a) the adjective *ənoibə* ‘fat’ modifies the head noun *nupa* ‘man’. Here the adjective precedes the head noun but in the case of 1(b), the same adjective follows the head noun. Even though the adjective either precedes or follows the head noun, there is no difference in the meaning of the two NPs; but there is a difference in terms of discourse structure and information flow. In the example 1(a) emphasis is given to the noun *nupa* ‘man’ whereas in 1(b) the derived noun *ənoibə* ‘fat’ is emphasised. In the example 2(a) where the relative clause precedes the head noun, emphasis is given to the noun *ləirik* ‘book’ whereas in the example 2(b) emphasis goes to *ləirəkpə* ‘being bought’ that is the nominalized verb of the relative clause. The emphasis is not

related to the preposed and postposed relative clause but to the presence of the determiner *-tu ~ -du* which is either to the head noun or to the verb of the relative clause.

In 2(a) the nominalized clause *nəŋnə ŋəraŋ lairəkpə* ‘that you bought yesterday’ precedes the noun *lairik* ‘book’ that is the head noun of the NP *nəŋnə ŋəraŋ lairəkpə lairiktu* ‘the book that you bought yesterday’. Moreover, in the case of 2(b) the relative clause follows the head noun. However, the pattern in 2(a) is more frequently used and that of 2(b) is structurally permitted but it is less used. When the head noun precedes the relative clause, there will be a pause between the two. This pattern is commonly used at the discourse level but there is no difference between the two patterns in terms of meaning.

RCs Marked by *-pə ~ -bə*

The nominalizer *-pə ~ -bə* is attached to the verb to form derived noun in different constructions. Some of the most frequently used constructions where *-pə ~ -bə* occurs are briefly highlighted here as follows.

(i) *-pə ~ -bə* has to occur with the interrogative suffixes like *-la ~ -ra* in making yes or no question.

- (a) *nəŋ lairik əsi parəbərə*
nəŋ lairik əsi pa-rə-bə-ra
 you book this read-PERF-NMZ-INTR
 ‘Have you read this book?’

(ii) Derivational suffixes like progressive *-li ~ -ri* and perfective *-lə ~ -rə* can be followed by the nominalizer *-pə ~ -bə*.

- (a) [*caribə*]_{MOD} [*mi*]_{HN}
ca-ri-bə mi
 eat-PROG-NMZ person
 ‘person who is eating’
- (b) [*kairəbə*]_{MOD} [*likli*]_{HN}
kai-rə-bə likli
 break-PERF-NMZ glass
 ‘bottle that has been broken’
- (c) [*cadəribə*]_{MOD} [*nupisiŋ*]_{HN}
ca-də-ri-bə nupi-siŋ
 eat-NEG-PROG-NMZ woman-PL
 ‘women who are not eating’

(iii) It can occur with the copula *-ni* to function as complement to the subject.

- (a) *ma cətpəni*
ma cət-pə-ni
 he go-NMZ-COP
 ‘He went.’

(iv) In negative constructions also the nominalizer *-pə ~ -bə* can occur to form the complement to the object.

- (a) *koireŋnə lairiktu padəbəni*
koireŋ-nə lairik-tu pa-də-bə-ni
 Koireng-NOM book-DET read-NEG-NMZ-COP

‘Koireng did not read the book.’

Generally, the nominalized clause (relative clause) is modifying the noun i.e. it can occur as a modifier in an NP. It can occur in the NPs that are in the positions of subject, object or complement of a sentence. Inside the NP that functions as subject of a sentence, the relative clause modifies the head noun.

3. *[manə p^huk^hibə]_{MOD} [nupadunə]_{HN} əibu*
ma-nə p^hu-k^hə-i-bə nupa-du-nə əi-bu
 he-NOM beat-DEF-SAM-NMZ man-DET-NOM I-ACC
ηakpik^hi
ηakpi-k^hə-i
 forgive-DEF-SAM
 ‘The man whom he beat forgave me.’

In the example (3) *manə p^huk^hibə nupa* ‘the man whom he beat’ is the NP that functions as the subject of the sentence. And in this NP, *manə p^huk^hibə* ‘whom he beat’ is the relative clause that modifies the head noun *nupa* ‘man’.

4. *[gopalnə purəkpa]_{MOD} [nupiməcadunə]_{HN} isəi əmə səki*
gopal-nə pu-rək-pə nupiməca-du-nə isəi əmə sək-i
 Gopal-NOM bring-DEIC-NMZ girl-DET-NOM song one sing-SAM
 ‘The girl whom Gopal brought sings a song.’
5. *[ojanə haik^hibə]_{MOD} [wahəisiṅdunə]_{HN} əik^hoibu*
oja-nə hai-k^hə-i-bə wahəi-siṅ-du-nə əik^hoi-bu
 teacher-NOM say-DEF-SAM-NMZ word-PL-DET-NOM we-ACC
pelhənk^hi
pel-hən-k^hə-i
 please-CAUS-DEF-SAM
 ‘The words that the teacher said pleased us.’

Similarly in the case of (4) and (5) the relative clauses that occur inside the subject position NPs are respectively *gopalnə purəkpa* ‘whom Gopal brought’ that modifies the head noun *nupiməca* ‘girl’ and *ojanə haik^hibə* ‘that the teacher said’ that modifies the head noun *wahəisiṅ* ‘words’. Thus, it can be concluded that the relative clause can operate as a modifier inside the NP that functions as the subject of a sentence.

Since an NP can occur as an object, the relative clause can operate in that object position NP. Hence, another crucial place where the relative clause can occur as a modifier is an NP that functions as an object of a sentence.

6. *[nəṅnə paribə]_{MOD} [waridu]_{HN} caubənə*
nəṅ-nə pa-ri-bə wari-du caubə-nə
 you-NOM read-PROG-NMZ story-DET chaoba-NOM

irəmbəni

i-rəm-bə-ni

write-COMP-NMZ-COP

‘Chaoba wrote the story which you read.’

7. *[əinə pambə]_{MOD} [kolomdu]_{HN} imanə ləigəni*
əi-nə pam-bə kolom-du ima-nə ləi-gəni
I-NOM like-NMZ pen-DET mother-NOM buy-NREAL
‘My mother will buy the pen which I like.’

In the example (6) and (7) the NPs that function as the object of their respective sentences are *nəŋnə paribə waridu* ‘the story which you read’ and *əinə pambə kolomdu* ‘the pen which I like’. In the case of (6) the relative clause *nəŋnə paribə* ‘which you read’ is modifying the head noun *wari* ‘story’ and an NP functioning as an object of the sentence is formed. Similarly in the case of (7) the head noun *kolom* ‘pen’ in the object position NP is modified by the relative clause *əinə pambə* ‘which I like’. Thus the NP in the object position is also formed by combining the modifying relative clause and the head noun. In such a way the relative clause can occur in the object position NP.

The relative clause can take place in an NP that functions as a complement of the copulative sentence.

8. *məhak [məjamnə pambə]_{MOD} [ojani]_{HN}*
məhak məjam-nə pam-bə oja-ni
he all-NOM like-NMZ teacher-COP
‘He is a teacher who is liked by all.’
9. *mək^hoi [əinə k^həŋbə]_{MOD} [sətrəsiŋni]_{HN}*
mək^hoi əi-nə k^həŋ-bə sətrə-siŋ-ni
they I-NOM know-NMZ student-PL-COP
‘They are the students whom I know.’
10. *gopen [mək^hoinə t^hajəbə]_{MOD} [ləumini]_{HN}*
gopen mək^hoi-nə t^hajə-bə ləumi-ni
Gopen they-NOM believe-NMZ farmer-COP
‘Gopen is a farmer whom they believe.’

The NPs that function as the complement of the copulative sentence of (8), (9) and (10) are respectively *məjamnə pambə oja* ‘a teacher who is liked by all’, *əinə k^həŋbə sətrəsiŋ* ‘students whom I know’ and *mək^hoinə t^hajəbə ləumi* ‘a farmer whom they believe’. In the case of (8) the relative clause *məjamnə pambə* ‘who is liked by all’ is modifying the head noun *oja* ‘teacher’ and in (9) the head noun *sətrəsiŋ* ‘students’ is being modified by the relative clause *əinə k^həŋbə* ‘whom I know’. Similarly in (10), the relative clause *mək^hoinə t^hajəbə* ‘whom they believe’ is modifying the head noun *ləumi* ‘farmer’. Thus, the relative clause can operate as a modifier of the head noun of the NP that functions as the complement of the copulative sentence.

Headless RC

In an NP in which a nominalized clause (relative clause) operates as a modifier, the head noun can be deleted in a particular context understood by both the speaker and the hearer. After the deletion of the head noun, the only remaining constituent in the NP is the relative clause.

11. (a) *[nəŋnə ŋəraŋ ləirəkpə]_{MOD}* *[ləiriktu]_{HN}* *p^həi*
nəŋ-nə ŋəraŋ ləi-rək-pə *ləirik-tu* *p^hə-i*
 you-NOM yesterday buy-DEIC-NMZ book-DET good-SAM
 ‘The book which you bought yesterday is good.’
- (b) *nəŋnə ŋəraŋ ləirəkpədu* *p^həi*
nəŋ-nə ŋəraŋ ləi-rək-pə-du *p^hə-i*
 you-NOM yesterday buy-DEIC-NMZ-DET good-SAM
 ‘The one which you bought yesterday is good.’

In 11(a) the subject NP is *nəŋnə ŋəraŋ ləirəkpə ləiriktu* ‘the book which you bought yesterday’ in which the relative clause *nəŋnə ŋəraŋ ləirəkpə* ‘which you bought yesterday’ modifies the head noun *ləirik* ‘book’. Then in the case of 11(b) the head noun *ləirik* ‘book’ is deleted and the remaining constituent of the NP is the relative clause *nəŋnə ŋəraŋ ləirəkpədu* ‘which you bought yesterday’. When the head noun is deleted from an NP the determiner *-tu ~ -du* having been attached to the head noun is added to the nominalized verb of the relative clause. From the attachment of the determiner to the nominalized verb of the relative clause, it has to be aware of the fact that a head noun is deleted. Deletion of a head noun of the NP is possible only when there is a certain context understood by both the speaker and the hearer. Without having a particular understood context, the head noun deletion is impossible.

Quotative RC Construction

The general way of making a relative clause has already been discussed. Now another way of forming a relative clause has emerged. Another crucial way of making a relative clause is done by using the quotative *haibə* i.e. the combination of the verb *hai* ‘say’ and the nominalizer *-bə*, which is the extended meaning of the verb *hai*. After studying the roles of a nominalized verb and the quotative *haibə* in making a relative clause, it has been seen that there is a big difference between the two. By using the quotative *haibə*, the part of the relative clause that precedes it will remain structurally intact. In one sense the quoted statement that is in the direct speech form will remain as it is and there is no nominalization to the verb of the relative clause whereas in the case of the non-quotative relative clause, the verb of the relative clause will be nominalized by suffixing the nominalizer *-pə ~ -bə* to it.

12. (a) *[tombənə pabə]_{MOD}* *[ləiriktu]_{HN}* *əinə* *p^həŋle*
tombə-nə pa-bə *ləirik-tu* *əi-nə* *p^həŋ-le*
 Tomba-NOM read-NMZ book-DET I-NOM find-PERF
 ‘I have found the book which Tomba reads.’
- (b) *[tombənə pai haibə]_{MOD}* *[ləiriktu]_{HN}* *əinə*
tombə-nə pa-i haibə *ləirik-tu* *əi-nə*
 Tomba-NOM read-SAM quotative book-DET I-NOM

p^həŋle
p^həŋ-le
 find-PERF
 ‘I have found the book which Tomba reads.’

In the example 12(a) the NP that is in the object position is *tombəna pabə lairiktu* ‘the book which Tomba reads’ and *tombəna pabə* ‘which Tomba reads’ is the relative clause that modifies the head noun *lairik* ‘book’. The relative clause that is embedded in the NP is formed by adding the nominalizer *-bə* to the verb *pa* ‘read’. This example means that the subject *əi* directly knows that the book which is found has been read by *tomba*. However, in the case of 12(b) the relative clause is made by using the quotative *haibə* and the verb of the clause is not nominalized. Now it is clear that the quoted statement *tombəna pai* ‘Tomba reads’ that precedes the quotative *haibə* keeps a finite verb. The example 12 (b) means that someone has informed the subject *əi* that the book which is found has been read by *tomba*.

Conclusion

The present study discusses some points about how the relative clause is formed in Manipuri. The relative clause is constructed by adding the nominalizer *-pa ~ -bə* to the verb of the clause and that nominalized clause has become a relative clause. In an NP, the relative clause always modifies the head noun. One thing to have been pointed out here is that by using the quotative *-haibə-* that keeps the quoted statement intact, a relative clause is formed especially in the case of the direct speech form. In addition, the present study made over here is about the relative clause structure of Manipuri and focuses on what a relative clause does in an NP.

Abbreviations

ACC	Accusative	MOD	Modifier
ATT	Attributive	NEG	Negative
CAUS	Causative	NMZ	Nominalizer
COMP	Completive	NOM	Nominative
COP	Copula	NREAL	Nonrealised
D.DET	demonstrative determiner	PERF	Perfective
DEF	Definitive	PL	Plural
DEIC	Deictic	PROG	Progressive
DET	Determiner	RC	relative clause
HN	head noun	SAM	simple aspect marker
INTR	Interrogative		

References

1. Bhat, D.N.S. and Ningomba M.S. (1995). *Manipuri Grammar*. Mysore, CIIL.
2. Carnie, Andrew. (2002). *Syntax- A generative introduction*. USA, Blackwell Publishing.

Language in India www.languageinindia.com ISSN 1930-2940 18:4 April 2018

Huiningshumbam Surchandra Singh, Research Scholar and Prof. P. Madhubala, Ph.D.
 Relative Clause Formation in Manipuri

3. Chalker, Sylvia and Weiner, Edmund. (1994). *The Oxford Dictionary of English Grammar*. New York, Oxford University Press.
 4. Chelliah, Shobhana Lakshmi. (1992). *A Study of Manipuri Grammar*. Ph.D. Dissertation. Department of Linguistics. Austin, University of Texas.
 5. Crystal, David. (2003). *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics*. USA, Blackwell Publishing.
 6. De Lancy, Scott. (2002). Relativization and nominalization in Bodic. BLS 28S: 55-72.
 7. Devi, Madhubala. (1979). *Manipuri Grammar*. Ph.D. Dissertation. Department of Linguistics. Poona, Deccan College.
 8. Francke, A.H. (1929). Adenda to Jaschke's *Tibetan Grammar*. pp. 105-60 in Jaschke 1929.
 9. Singh, Nonigopal, N. (1987). *A Meitei Grammar of roots and affixes*. Ph.D. Dissertation. Department of Linguistics. Imphal, Manipur University.
 10. Singh, Yashawanta, Ch. (2000). *Manipuri Grammar*. New Delhi, Rajesh Publication.
 11. Tallerman, Maggie. (1998). *Understanding Syntax*. New York, Oxford University Press.
 12. Thoudam, P.C. (1996). *Remedial Manipuri*. Imphal, Saraswati Printing Works.
 13. Trask, R.L. (1993). *A Dictionary of Grammatical Terms in Linguistics*. London, Routledge.
 14. Watters, David E. (2004). *A Grammar of Kham*. UK, Cambridge University Press.
-

Huiningshumbam Surchandra Singh
 Research Scholar
 Department of Linguistics
 Manipur University
 Imphal
 Manipur
 India
boinao86@gmail.com



Prof. P. Madhubala, Ph.D.
 Department of Linguistics
 Manipur University
 Imphal
 Manipur
 India
pmadhubala@gmail.com



Language in India www.languageinindia.com ISSN 1930-2940 18:4 April 2018

Huiningshumbam Surchandra Singh, Research Scholar and Prof. P. Madhubala, Ph.D.
 Relative Clause Formation in Manipuri